COR-0270 Copy 6 of 5

9 December 1958

MEMORANIUM FOR

: Special Assistant to the Director

for Planning and Development

THROUGH

: Director of Development and Procurement, DPS

SIBJECT

: Report of Trip to ITEK

Reference

: Memo for Addressee, Subject: Exploitation of Equipment for CORONA Photography (COR-0244 dtd 1 Dec.1958).

1. During the period 2 - 3 December, and the undersigned visited ITEK. The primary purpose of the visit was to resolve the differences in opinion regarding the relative merits of the processors and printers produced by ITEK and those manufactured by EK. During our stay we talked with Mr. W. Levison and the latter two were project officers for the ITEK processor and printer.

25X1

25X1

NRO

- 2. Basically, Mr. Levison's concern was twofold:
- a. The processors and printers produced by EK would not retain the resolution obtained by the camera.
- b. EK in the person of Mr. E. Green was not giving the ITEK equipments a fair trial and was not cooperating with ITEK personnel.
- 3. During our two day stay, we undertook to resolve each of the two major points brought to our attention by Mr. Levison.
- a.l. In answer to par. 2A -- We indicated to Walt Levison all of the EK processors are capable of producing material in excess of calculated resolution of the Hyac cameras using either the IIa lenses or the IIb lenses. The majority of the cameras (14) will have the IIa lenses. Only 4 cameras will have the IIb lenses. The IIa lens produces 110 1/mm on axis, at 1 off axis 90 1/mm at $2^{\circ} = 75$ 1/mm and at $2 \cdot 1/2^{\circ} = 60$ 1/mm. ITEK believes the IIb lens will produce 100 1/mm across the slit. It must be remembered these figures are based on beach tests using high resolution targets.
- 2. We indicated to Mr. Levison that EK considered the ITEK printer an excellent machine but it would need certain modifications before it could be utilized on CORONA. We assured Mr. Levison all of the printers (EK and ITEK) could dupe material without appreciable loss of detail.

NRO review(s) completed.

Approved For Release 2004/05/12: CIA-RDP63-00313A000600150031-6

Approved For Release 2004/05/12 - 614-RDP63-00313Δ000600150031-6

3. Mr. Levison stated he has not seen EK's

evaluation of either the ITEK printer or processor. We assured him this was an oversight on our part as we thought

	the undersigned had given his copy to an ITEK representa- tive at the 3 November meeting at HTA. I promised to send him a copy as soon as I could locate one. This was done on	
25X1	9 December.	25X1
NRO	b.1. In answer to par. 2B This point seemed to be a figurant of Mr. Levison's imagination for both	NRO
25X1	indicated to us they had no problems with Mr. Green in the checkout or evaluation of ITEK equip-	
	ment. I asked shout the rumor that Ed Green	25X1
NRO	kept him out of the EK facility for 3 days for reasons unknown.	NRO
05)/4	was surprised to hear this rumor had come to	NRO
25X1 NRO	our attention. The true story he stated was as follows:	⁵ 25X1
INKO	and he were instructed by ITEK	NRO
25X1	to spend a week at EK to run tests on the printer and pro-	
NRO	cessor. They arrived at EK on a Monday morning. was denied entrance to our EK facilities because his clearance	
	had not arrived. understanding the situation.	25X1
25X1	returned to his hotel room. As had clearance, he	NRO
NRO	proceeded to go to work. The same afternoon,	
	clearance arrived and he too spent the remainder of the week working on ITEK equipment.	
	2. We stated Mr. Green told us he had been in-	
25X1	structed by ITEK not to attempt any repairs or cleanup of the electronic unit of the ITEK processor. Neither Walt Levison	
NRO	nor was aware of these instructions. However, when	
05.7/4	we talked to he stated Ed Green was given these	
25X1	instructions when Ed informed him of the first breakdown.	
NRO	indicated he intended these instructions to hold only	
1	for that one occasion. He admitted he did not tell Hd Green this; consequently, Hd assumed the ITEX instructions were	
:	currently applicable. the link instructions very	25X1
1	the week of 8 December, went to EK and removed the electronic	
 	unit for repair and cleanup.	NRO
!	4. Mr. Levison admitted the following:	

Approved For Release 2004/05/12 : CIA-RDP63-00313A000600150031-6

would operate effectively.

for CORONA without considerable modification. A test program would have to be instituted to insure the modified equipments

a. He felt he was most to blame for the misunderstand-

b. The ITEK processor and printer could not be used

ing between EK and ITEK. (NOTE: This statement was unsolicited.)

- e. EK is held accountable by the Project for processing and printing and therefore should have the responsibility for producing the equipments and techniques necessary to obtain the best available results.
- 5. We suggested to Mr. Levison he establish closer limison with EK and frankly and openly discuss any problems with Mr. Green and his people. If, after discussion and study, the problem still exists, the facts should be presented to us and we would then attempt to resolve the differences.

6. CONCLUSIONS:

- A. We believe we have succeeded in bringing EK and ITEK a little closer together and giving each a better understanding of the other's interests. I am sure Ed Green, as a consequence of some of ITEK's accusations, has taken a closer look at his equipment and their results.
- B. The undersigned is of the opinion, however, that ITEK and EK will not work effectively as a team. I believe enough ill will has been engendered to preclude any hope of a good relationship. Our task of arbitration will be continuous.
- C. Our recommendation is still to utilise EK processors and printers for COROMA.

		R&D Officer	25X ² NRO
	CONCUR:	New Villeer	
25X1			
NRO			
25X1	des/dci:		
NRO	Distribution: Orig - Mr. Bissell		
25X1	2 - Dep.Dir., DPS/BCI 3 - Dir.OPS. DPS		
JRO	5 - Chrono		